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Self-reflection
The ability to revisit his own work and to re-engage with its visual potential was central to Paul Klee’s artistic self-reflection. This capacity for self-reflection coloured his approach to the handwritten catalogue of his works that he had started to compile in Munich in 1911 and continued writing until the end of his life. Against the backdrop of the ground-breaking thinking of the Blaue Reiter group, the then thirty-one-year old subjected his pictorial compositions to a rigorous selection process. He only listed those works that he deemed to have been of importance in the discovery of his creative self and in his artistic development. Although Klee subsequently listed his compositions with a year-date and a running number for that year, his œuvre catalogue is not so much an objective, chronological sequence of works as a history of an artist’s creative life, conceived by the artist himself. In the case of compositions that preoccupied Klee for years—some of which he completely reworked—rather than listing these under the year when they were finished, he would enter them under the year when he first started to work on them. A typical instance of this is the oil painting Akt (Nude 1910.124), which Klee probably began around 1910; although he later repainted it twice and only finished it in 1923 or 1924, it remains the last entry for 1910 in the œuvre catalogue. ‘In this way Klee’s system can easily lead the unwary to the wrong conclusions.

The self-reflective nature of Klee’s art is also seen in his frequent references to his own earlier works, which are noted in subtitles such as ‘after the drawing...’ or ‘in the style of...’ This process of retrospection is seen in its most enduring form in Klee’s long-term preoccupation...
with the pictures that he made in Tunisia in 1914 and led to paintings such as *Scene aus Karthago* (Episode from Carthage 1920, p. 66). This was also the context that saw him develop his 'oil-transfer' technique, which allowed him to exploit his own fund of drawings more readily. By applying black oil paint to a sheet of paper and re-tracing an original drawing laid on top of it, he was able to reproduce selectively elements of his own pictorial vocabulary and enhance them by using watercolours to create an atmospheric sense of space (see, for instance, p. 69). Revisiting earlier works kindled new pictorial ideas and laid the foundations for innovation. His oil-transfer method, for example, paved the way for techniques of pictorial deconstruction, such as when Klee cut his source materials into sections and rotated the pieces by 90 or 180 degrees before transferring them to a new mount.

**Looking back in 1934**

While the productive re-examination of earlier pictorial and compositional ideas always played an important part in Klee's work, this reconsideration took on a particular significance after his involuntary return to Switzerland in 1933. At that moment, more than at any other time in his artistic career, it became the mainstay of his creative work. Following the National Socialists' rise to power, in April 1933 Klee was suspended from his teaching post at the art academy in Düsseldorf. By late 1933 he realised that he had no future in Germany. His only option was to return to Switzerland. In exile, with no contact to the artistic circles in which he had moved hitherto, and thrown back on the constrained circumstances of his own living conditions in Bern, the re-evaluation of earlier works provided him with a source of inspiration and artistic affirmation. In this context, however, there was also a darker undertone. This may be seen in Klee's return to his 'pointillist' technique, which had marked a highpoint in his artistic career in the early 1930s with paintings such as *Ad Parnassum* (1932, 74; pp. 188-9). Whereas light materialised as colour in the dots of paint in those earlier works, in *Angst* (Farr 1934, 201; p. 197) made in 1934 – following his enforced departure from Germany – the rough surface of paint creates a diffuse realm, in which uncertainty and fear proliferate.

A pressing reason for retrospectivity came with the prospect of a major overview exhibition at the Kunsthalle Bern in February 1935, on which Klee, Max Hugger (Director of the Kunsthalle) and the collector Hanni Bürzi started work shortly after his return to Switzerland (see pp. 166–9). Klee returned to subjects, techniques and compositional structures that he had developed over the previous ten years, as though – with this show in mind – he wanted to work through his artistic repertoire once again on a different basis. With some paintings he produced a new larger-format version that was otherwise almost identical to the original work.

The oil painting Blihtenbae (Flowering Tree 1934, 190; p. 194) was made specifically with the proposed retrospective at the Kunsthalle Bern in mind. In its eventual installation Klee placed it at the centre of a group of dynamic ‘square paintings’ made between 1926 and 1930 (p. 166). The painting of 1934 was a new version – rotated by 90 degrees – of the painting Blühender Baum (Blossoming Tree 1925, 219). The later version, apart from some very slight differences, has the same structure as the painting of 1925; the colour spectrum is also largely the same, just a little cooler and with a greater luminance difference in the format of the two paintings four times the size of the 1925 painting from the outset that it should occupy a 1935 exhibition.

As a re-assessment of an earlier work beginning of a lengthy phase during which colour-field paintings from his early phases In 1935, using a red-green spectrum (a brown ochre spectrum), he paint 1936, 219; p. 219). The colours, the concept work all point to a number of earlier pal red-green spectrum), he painted pro formas to the geometric grid and took as harmonies, generally in association with the idea of the ‘New’ in the title indicate the breakthrough first paintings on colour harmony and gelb, blau, rot und schwarz (Harmony of red and black 1933, 33; p. 318). Klee painted a subject and searching for new pictorial forms to his ‘square paintings’, he added and the architecture of the 1920s – as in Bildarchitektur gelb, blau, rot und schwarz (Harmony of White and Black 1923, 33; p. 118), Klee subject and searching for new pictorial forms to his ‘square paintings’, he added one, and searched for forms that would serve to create a comprehensible pictorial architecture (Stadtbild) The Way to the Citadel (Blick auf the buildings in the paintings he combined colour-field and technique. In the process he divided the
re-evaluation of earlier works provided him with a source of inspiration and artistic affirmation. In this context, however, there was also a darker undertone. This may be seen in Klee’s return to his ‘pointillist’ technique, which had marked a highpoint in his artistic career in the early 1930s with paintings such as Ad Parnassum (1931, 274; pp. 188–9). Whereas light materialised as colour in the dots of paint in those earlier works, in Angst (80, 1933–2021; p. 197) made in 1934 – following his enforced departure from Germany – the rough surface of paint creates a diffuse realm, in which uncertainty and fear proliferate.

A pressing reason for retrospective came with the prospect of a major overview exhibition at the Kunsthalle Bern in February 1935, on which Klee, Max Huggler (Director of the Kunsthalle) and the collector Hanni Bürgi started work shortly after his return to Switzerland (see pp. 166–9). Klee returned to subjects, techniques and compositional structures that he had developed over the previous ten years, as though – with this show in mind – he wanted to work through his artistic repertoire once again on a different basis. With some paintings he produced a new larger-format version that was otherwise almost identical to the original work. The oil painting Blühender Kranz (Flowering 1934,190; p. 194) was made specifically with the proposed retrospective at the Kunsthalle Bern in mind. In its eventual installation Klee placed it at the centre of a group of dynamic ‘square paintings’ made between 1926 and 1930 (p. 166). The painting of 1934 was a new version – rotated by 90 degrees – of the painting Blühender Baum (Blossoming Tree 1925, 116). The latter version, apart from some very slight differences, has the same structure as the painting of 1925; the colour spectrum is also largely the same, just a little cooler and with a greater luminescence. However, there is a significant difference in the format of the two paintings: the 1934 version is almost four times the size of the 1925 painting. Clearly, Klee had intended from the outset that it should occupy a prominent position in the 1935 exhibition.

As a re-assessment of an earlier work, flowering marked the beginning of a lengthy phase during which Klee revisited the geometric colour-field paintings from his early years at the Bauhaus in Weimar. In 1936, using a red-green spectrum of oils (complementing an earlier brown and ochre spectrum), he painted Neue Harmonie (New Harmony 1936,24; p. 213). The colours, the composition and the title of this work all point to numerous earlier paintings on the subject of colour harmony, generally in association with musical acoustics. The inclusion of the word ‘New’ in the title indicates that, thirteen years after his first paintings on colour harmony such as Harmonie aus Viersen mit rot, gelb, blau, violett und schwarz (Harmony of Rectangles with Red, Yellow, Blue, White and Black 1922, 238; p. 118), Klee was still preoccupied with this subject and searching for new pictorial solutions. Elsewhere, looking back to his ‘square paintings’, he added triangular and trapezoid forms to the geometric grid and took the abstract pictorial and urban architecture of the 1920s – as in Bildarchitektur rot, gelb, blau (Pictorial Architecture Red, Yellow, Blue 1923,60; p. 111) – and turned it into a spatially comprehensible pictorial architecture, as in Der Weg zur Stadtburg (Siedlung) (The Way to the Citadel; Picture of a City 1927, 137). In yet other paintings he combined colour-field painting with his own ‘pointillist’ technique. In the process he divided the grid of his ‘square paintings’ into a small-scale, modular spatial structure with a flexible skin that seemed able to adapt to even the slightest vibrations or atmospheric changes (e.g. Künstliche Symbiosen [Artificial Symbiosis] 1934, 7).

The paintings Blühende Sphinx (Sphinx Reising 1934, 210) and Dame Dormant (Lady Dreams 1935,115; p. 208) are enlargements of earlier subjects. Unlike Fleuring, they were not made for the Bern exhibition but for the major retrospective presented at the Kunsthalle Basel towards the end of that same year: Sphinx Reising derives from the smaller Siesta der Sphinx (Siesta of the Sphinx 1932, 329). While the compositional structure of the two versions is almost identical, there are considerable differences in the use of colour, with restrained (earthy) browns and reds in the first version and a saturated blue-grey, red, grey-green and brown in the second.

Opinions are divided as to what may have prompted Klee to make larger-format versions of some of his motifs. Otto Karl Weckmeister interpreted it as a consequence of Klee’s efforts to meet the demands of the art market, which was hungry for large, representative paintings of the kind that Picasso was producing. The fact that the experience of Picasso’s major exhibition at Kunsthalle Zürich in 1932 made a deep impression on Klee and played an important part in his thinking has been fully documented. Meanwhile Jürgen Gläserne deliberated as to whether the process of duplication in a larger format did not come at the potential cost of the motif ‘descending into bland docility’, and whether the mutation of drawing-based works into larger versions did not in fact detract from their artistic quality. However, it could also be said, in view of Klee’s re-engagement with earlier motifs and
compositions, that a change in the format of options and a perfectly logical outcome

**Botanical Theatre**

The process of reconsideration embodied in Botanical Theatre (Botanical Theatre 1934, 219; p. 201). In 1924 Klee listed a painting in his oeuvre catalogue and the title Tropische Landschaft (Tropical Landscape) to be an early version of Botanical Theatre. The exceptions for which he made a second version (in this case a decade later) and gave it a different formal and stylistic features of Botanical Theatre. Klee's work as it is in 1923 and 1924. Of the shape flower motifs, emerged later and in 1925, which he himself later called 'Dynas'. Klee continued to work on his 1924 painting May 1934 it arrived in Bern along with the painting was clearly in need of repair: the colour of the painting - consisting of thick paint, applied with a white ground and a thin, yellowish disintegrated. Klee did not attempt to retouch the condition, but instead developed the picture and brownish shading in watercolours so to create new ones. The painting contains which become actors or staffage on a mystic, nocturnal darkness. The painting's
compositions, that a change in the format was simply one of a number of options and a perfectly logical outcome of his active self-reflection.

Botanical Theatre

The process of reconsideration embodied in the painting Botanisches Theater (Botanical Theatre 1934.119; p. 203) lasted for over ten years. In 1934 Klee listed a painting in his oeuvre catalogue with the number 198 and the title 'Tropische Landschaft' (Tropical Landscape), which appears to be an early version of Botanical Theatre. This is one of the very rare exceptions for which he made a second entry for a reworked painting (in this case a decade later) and gave it a different title. Many of the formal and stylistic features of Botanical Theatre are still in keeping with Klee's work as it was in 1923 and 1924. Other features, such as the star-shaped flower motifs, emerged later and recall his floral paintings of 1925, which he himself later called 'Dynamomorphologen'.

Klee continued to work on his 1934 painting for some years. In May 1934 it arrived in Bern along with the other works from Dessau. It was clearly in need of repair: the colour of the unconventional underpainting – consisting of thick paint, applied directly from the tube, with a white ground and a thin, yellowish-brown glaze – had partially disintegrated. Klee did not attempt to return the painting to its earlier condition, but instead developed the pictorial idea by using greenish and brownish shading in watercolours to reinforce existing motifs or to create new ones. The painting contains a wealth of plant-like forms, which become actors or staffage on a mysterious stage shrouded in wan, nocturnal darkness. The painting's ultimate completion crowned a process that had lasted a good decade and that was then reflected in the work's asking price of 5,000 Swiss francs at the Kunsthalle Bern exhibition – more than twice the price of other considerably larger paintings. 22

Not unlike Botanical Theatre, the painting Der Schöpfer (The Creator 1934.213), was also 'put aside for years' in his studio, as Klee noted in his oeuvre catalogue. The starting point for it had been the drawing Schöpfer I of 1930, which he initially copied using his oil-transfer process (Schöpfer II 1930.33) and then reworked on canvas. 23 Evidently, he searched for a considerable length of time for a way of working in oils on the 'transferred' lines, until he came up with a method that satisfied him in 1934: instead of applying the paint with a brush, he used a palette knife to press the contours on the pink ground. This became a coloured space in its own right, on which the contours of the figure seemed to float like a separate entity. The delicate pink of the ground gives the composition a sunny air that matches the finely delineated figure of the Creator. At the same time, in a typical example of Klee's deliberate ambiguities, the awkward arm movements of the figure seem reminiscent of a bird with clipped wings and its clumsy feet are hardly divine. Nonetheless, the lightness of the figure's lines seems to make it hover in the air, so that it appears weightless and transcendental.

Return to 'fundamental research' at the Bauhaus

It may well have been Klee's re-engagement with the painting Botanical Theatre that prompted his return to the 'fundamental research' he had carried out for his 'Bildnerische Gestaltungslehre' (Notes on Pictorial
Creation) in connection with his teaching at the Bauhaus from 1928 to 1930. This body of material – which is today preserved at the Zentrum Paul Klee – consists of over 3,000 sheets. Klee had already sorted these sheets into a particular order at the Bauhaus in Dessau and took them with him to Bern in folders when he left Germany. The motif of the plant-like Dynamoradiolaren in Botanical Theatre goes back to the chapter on the dynamisation – through rotation – of geometric and planimetric elements. The original series of Dynamoradiolaren drawings, made in 1926 at the Bauhaus, had been the first productive realisation of one of his theoretically grounded principles of artistic formation. Twelve years later Klee once again took advantage of his fundamental research, this time in the formation of schematic stick figures, whose ‘patterns of movement’ are based on the principle of rotation schemas. Works such as Nach rechts, nach links (To the Left to the Right 1938.54) and Tänze vor Ägypt (Danse Caused by Fear 1937.50) point to the central importance of this renewed, almost playful return in his late work to the principles he had taught his students at the Bauhaus.

Elements from the ‘Notes on Pictorial Creation’ appear in many other paintings in all kinds of variations. From 1937 onwards they feature regularly in Klee’s pictorial vocabulary, as, for instance, in Räuber Hofn (Rich Harbour 1938.1477); pp. 226–71. The same can be said of his renewed interest in the possibilities of the pictorial ‘polyphony’, which he had explored in the section in the Notes on structure. His concern in that section had been to discover ways of portraying simultaneous processes in a visual composition that could compare with the use of polyphony in music. One method was to superimpose dynamic webs of lines tracing the move in 1937 he made two drawings according to his return to Bern, Klee seamlessly reconstituted his ‘polyphonic’ compositional method. In representations of imaginary physiognomies.

Places of remembrance

The examples cited here contain references to principles of composition worked out in Bauhaus, a whole seems to be imbued with thought in antithesis to the cramped up apartment on Kisterweg in Bern, where his studio (p. 226), he created realms of both geographically and temporally. The notion of the Orient as an imaginary place, thoughts of Egypt, rather than of Tunisia, during his trip abroad had taken him to Egypt, where and barely any of the sort of coloured 4 Tunisia. However, in the years to come on his impressions of Egypt, exploring and the landscape as well as the mystery of the Orient, for instance, in Neupoli (Nepole 1938.54) Schmelz (in the Current Six Thresholds 1938.54) his involuntary return to Switzerland, I work, albeit in a less rigorous, enigmatic, more fanciful and more down to earth...
dynamic webs of lines tracing the movements of a conductor’s baton. In 1931 he made two drawings according to this principle. Following his return to Bern, Klee seamlessly reconnected with this linear, ‘polyphonic’ compositional method, but now transposed it into representations of imaginary physiognomies or natural events.

**Places of remembrance**

The examples cited here contain references to earlier pictures or principles of composition worked out in the past, and indeed Klee’s late work as a whole seems to be imbued with an aura of remembrance. As though in antithesis to the cramped quarters of the small three-room apartment on Kistlerweg in Bern, which served as both Klee’s home and his studio (p.256), he created realms of memory that were boundless, both geographically and temporally. Part of this was found in his notion of the Orient as an imaginary place, which was now inspired by thoughts of Egypt, rather than of Tunisia. 13 In 1928 Klee’s last major trip abroad had taken him to Egypt, where he mainly made sketches and barely any of the sort of coloured works that he had produced in Tunisia. However, in the years to come he worked all the more intensely on his impressions of Egypt, exploring the geometry of the architecture and the landscape as well as the mysterious history of that country, in works such as Nekropoli (Necropolis) 1929,21 and In der Strömung sechs Schabellen (In the Current Six Thresholds) 1929,22,22, pp.141, 143. Even after his involuntary return to Switzerland, Egypt still resonated in Klee’s work, albeit in a less rigorous, enigmatic form; instead, it seems both more fanciful and more down to earth. It is as though images of Egypt were coming to the surface in a steadily flowing current of memories, often with an ironic undertone, as in the case of Ruhende Sphinx (Sphinx Resting) 1934,220, or in the form of imaginary placés and fictionalised memories, as in Legende von Nil (Legend of the Nile) 1937,225.

In the last years of his life Klee’s own childhood became another locus of memory. His earliest drawings, which his sister had kept, had already been an important discovery for him in the early 1930s, and he had carefully preserved them ever since as his first artistic works. In Bern, once Klee had gone into exile, they again became an important source of inspiration. A touching outcome of this is the group of angel paintings, as they are generally known, which arose from the pictures of the Christ Child with wings that he had drawn at the age of four or five. 14 Although now, in later life, his health was seriously impaired, the angels opened up a path for Klee to his childhood self, giving him a renewed self-confidence that fired his artistic productivity. Shortly before the outbreak of the Second World War, by which time he had already addressed the Nazis’ militarisation and brutalisation of the youth of Germany in drawings such as Schlacht unter Kindern (Battle among Children) 1938,225 and Kinder spielten Angriff (Children Playing Attack) 1940,231, he was now engaged with an ideal vision of childhood as an artistic utopia of unregulated spontaneity, a realm of play and high spirits, dreams and fantasies. In terms of artistic formation, this ‘return to the past’ was very much in keeping with his determination to ‘forget’ his own formal and technical skills, which he had done so effectively in the 1920s and 1930s. Many of the works Klee produced at that time have a spontaneity of gesture and haptic materiality that call to mind
children's scribbles or finger painting. This technical 'simplification' went hand in hand with a childlike delight in phantasmagorias and the grotesque.

Reminiscences of the past
During the three last years of his life Klee sustained a barely credible level of productivity. In the year 1939 alone he added over one thousand works to his oeuvre catalogue. Klee was working unstoppably against time and, until very shortly before his death, he felt a continuously increasing urge to produce ever more work. He described his own output as little short of an uncontrollable automation in a letter to his son Felix: 'I don't even have enough time for my main business ... Productivity is accelerating in range and at a highly accelerated tempo; I can no longer keep up with these children of mine. They run away with me.'

By this time Klee's artistic production was entirely focused on the here and now. As he prepared for an exhibition planned for February 1940 at Kunsthauer Zürich, he therefore decided — very much against the wishes of the Kunsthauer — to show only works that he had made since 1935 (see pp. 216–21). He pitted the abundance of his current artistic creativity against his debilitated physical condition, and he was determined that these last works should be seen not as a conclusion but rather as a new departure leading into a future beyond death. Even in this phase of incessant production there are still numerous reminiscences and references to earlier works. However, these now have little to do with the 'methodical' artistic retrospection of 1934. Instead, they seem more like isolated traces of memories, forming moments of calm within a torrent of creativity that the artist himself was barely able to control.

Among these scattered memories of the past, certain works stand out. They seem almost like retrospective summations and include compositions such as Glas-Fassade (Glass Façade 1940/41, p. 234), Klee's last 'square painting', Executed in an experimental technique — was colours and pastels on burlap — that he had only perfected in recent years, the inmaterial sphere of light materialises in the transulence of the colours on the distinctive texture of the picture surface. As both solid matter and a transparent window, this work seems to embody the miracle of painting.

One of the most remarkable creations from the last year of Klee's life is a still life that was in his studio on Kistlerweg at the time of his death; his son Felix Klee gave the title Das letzte Stillleben (The Last Still Life, p. 235). Klee had all but finished this painting in 1939, but continued to work on it and to add to it. With a poetic undertone, it recalls the figures that had inhabited his compositions in recent years: in the lower left Engel, noch hülslich (Angel Still Ugly 1940, 26) gazes out of the picture; while the wooden sculpture appears to be grinning and waving to the viewer. Meanwhile, the delicate still life of flowers in the upper-left corner is literally ambiguous: turned upside down, it proves to be a picture of the two stick figures riding unicycles from the drawing Hühner auf Rädern (Hens on Wheels 1939, 85). With these references to works from 1939 and 1940 in his last painting Paul Klee paid his respects to his own drawings. For in Klee's oeuvre drawings...
of 1934. Instead, they seem more like isolated traces of memories, forming moments of calm within a torrent of creativity that the artist himself was barely able to control.

Among these scattered memories of the past, certain works stand out. They seem almost like retrospective summations and include compositions such as Glas-Fassade (Glass Facade 1940, 288; p. 234). Klee’s last ‘square painting’. Executed in an experimental technique – wax colours and pastels on burlap – that he had only perfected in recent years, the immaterial sphere of light materialises in the transulence of the colours on the distinctive texture of the picture surface. As both solid matter and a transparent window, this work seems to embody the miracle of painting.

One of the most remarkable creations from the last year of Klee’s life is a still life that was in his studio on Kistlerweg at the time of his death; his son Felix Klee gave it the title Das Irre Stilleben (The Last Still Life, p. 235). Klee had all but finished this painting in 1939, but continued to work on it and to add to it. With a poetic undertone, it recalls the figures that had inhabited his compositions in recent years: in the lower left Engelf, such busyh (Angel still Ugly 1940, 285) gazes out of the picture, while the wooden sculpture appears to be grinning and winking to the viewer. Meanwhile, the delicate still life of flowers in the upper-left corner is literally ambiguous: turned upside down, it proves to be a picture of the two stick figures riding unicycles from the drawing Fliehen auf Radern (Fleeing on Wheels 1939, 653). With these references to works from 1939 and 1940 in his last painting Paul Klee paid his respects to his own drawings. For in Klee’s oeuvre drawings are never subservient and they are rarely mere sketches or studies; they are, almost always, fully fledged expressions of his artistic intent and pictorial thought processes.

The last paintings that Klee entered into his handwritten oeuvre catalogue, in May 1940, were two versions of Gelbes Haus (Yellow House) numbered 355 and 356. Following this, he left Bern to take a cure in Locarno-Muralto, where he died not long afterwards on 29 June. The catalogue numbers of these paintings were far from a matter of chance: 1940 was a leap year. With the completion of 356 compositions Klee had thus lived up to his maxim of ‘nulla dies sine linea’ (‘no day without a line’) and had symbolically finished his work for that year. With the subject of these two last entries in his catalogue recalling the artistic friendship of Vincent van Gogh and Paul Gauguin in the Yellow House in Arles, Klee also paid homage one last time to his own artist friends, with whom he had only been spiritually connected since his return to Switzerland: Wassily Kandinsky, Lyonel Feininger, Josef and Anni Albers, Alexei von Jawlensky and others. He had painted his first version of this motif, Das gelbe Hauser (The Yellow House 1914, 26), in 1914 and had given it to Alexei von Jawlensky, marking the beginning of a lifetime’s exchange of pictures between the two artists. Twenty-six years later Klee, by then seriously ill, recalled this symbolic beginning of a community of like-minded artists that had had the greatest significance for his own artistic development.